

## HO/2 COMPOSTS FOR CONTAINER PLANT PRODUCTION

The first component of this project - "Overwintering and shelf life as influenced by compost structure and use of polymer or wetting agent" - was established at Efford E.H.S. during 1987.

## Progress report:

Composts of varying structure ie. very open, moderately open, average, poor (25, 18, 12 and 7% Air Filled Porosity) were designed by Neil Bragg ADAS Wolverhampton and used in combination with polymer (Broadleaf P4) or wetting agent (Aqua-Gro). Plant species with clearly defined wilting stages (ie. Hydrangea, Apple Mint and Mimulus) were potted in these mixes during late June 1987, half were overwintered outdoors on gravel beds and the remainder were placed under protection.

Following a relatively mild winter final records were taken in late May/early June to determine AFP and assess shelf life after wetting to field capacity.

Shelf life assessments were carried out in a 'standard' environment under glass to determine the number of days taken to show:

i) first signs of wilting ii) half of plot wilted iii) entire plot wilted.

Dry weights of the plants were also obtained.

Recording was carried out in detail for Hydrangea but was limited for Apple Mint and Mimulus since the latter two species suffered severely from gale damage.

Shoot and root growth of Hydrangea did not appear to vary relative to compost/polymer-wetting agent combination. This was partly reflected in plant dry weight values. However in mixes which were untreated or contained polymer there was a trend of increased dry weight as AFP declined.

AFP tests indicated that, with the exception of the 'poor' mix, values obtained in Summer 1988 (Tables 1 and 2) were comparable with initial design.

The increase in AFP of 'poor' mixes from initial value of 7% to values of 11-16% at the end of the trial could have been due to air spaces created by loss of fine particles through holes in bottom of the pots.

## Growing Media Analyses - Available Nutrients

Data relating to these is presented in Appendix III, Tables 7 and 8, pages 33 and 34. These were taken four weeks past potting from the *Prunus* across the eight CRF treatments with and without KPA added. A standard analysis was used, taking  $\frac{1}{15}$  litre from the sample taken and extracting nutrients in 400 ml of water, which was then analysed as for a liquid feed sample.

The major influence on available nutrients was the presence or absence of KPA, as might be expected with a sample close to potting. All mixes with KPA had somewhat higher conductivity, phosphorus, potassium, ammonium N and nitrate N levels than their corresponding mix without KPA. All levels were, however, still all generally within acceptable limits.

Considering the range of rates used with both Ficote and Osmocote Plus formulations, the levels of available nutrients in the growing media were remarkably similar, all falling within the same or one Index higher value.

## Conductivity Measurements

These were taken at monthly intervals over a six month period using a PET Havenaar conductivity meter with a probe inserted into the containers on the bed. The results are shown in Appendix IV, Tables 9, 10 and 11, pages 35 and 36; each figure is an average of three replicates with six plants per replicate.

The influence of KPA addition was also picked up on the conductivity measurements in the first month (July), with values slightly higher where it was included. This effect had largely disappeared by the second reading in August and thereafter levels were similar between  $\pm$  KPA treatments.

The effect of rate and type of fertilizer on conductivity levels also followed the pattern observed in the 4 week available nutrient analysis, with levels in general largely similar regardless of treatment. Conductivity levels did fall over time with those in October/November considerably lower than the earlier readings, and here the higher rates of fertilizer were beginning to show slightly higher readings than the lower rates in the majority of formulations.

## CRF Granules: Residual Analysis

A residual analysis of nutrients remaining in the various CRF formulations was done in November 1994. This involved taking a representative sample of 50 granules from the container which were then ground and total nutrients remaining measured using an acid extraction method. A sample of unused granules was also analysed in a similar manner to enable the percentage

## TREATMENTS

Growing Environments : Outdoors  
Under protection (Polythene roof/netting sided structure)

Compost Structure :	Air Filled Porosity (approx.)
- Very Open	24
Moderately Open	18
Average	12
Poor	7

These structures were achieved by mixing the various fractions of peat sieved from Irish peat bales with 30% super fine, standard or coarse grade perlite. The mixes are of a somewhat 'artificial' nature in relation to what the nursery stock industry are using but enable comparisons of the various treatments using the same basic ingredients.

### Wetting Agents/Polymers

a. Polymer: Broadleaf P<sup>4</sup> at 1.0 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of compost.  
Incorporated at mixing in "half" hydrated state

b. Wetting Agent : Aqua-Gro at 1:100 dilution

Drenched through pots at various points in production schedule.

	Immediately after potting	October 1988	Pre Shelf Life Test 1989
3.	✓	✓	✓
2.		✓	✓
1.			✓

c. Untreated Control

	Pot Size
Species :	
<u>Hydrangea</u> 'Mme J de Schmedt'	2 litre
Herbaceous 'Lupinus'	1 litre
Alpine 'Edelweiss'	90 mm

Method : Rooted cuttings (or module raised seedlings) were potted late July 1988 and grown on gravel beds under the appropriate environment. Limited plot size and a fully randomized trial makes differential irrigation of the different compost structures difficult and the trial is therefore being hand watered.

Assessments: Overwintering and shelf life assessments will be made late April 1989.

### TRIAL 2. INFLUENCE OF NUTRITION DURING PRODUCTION ON SHELF LIFE

Influence of nutrition on overwintering and shelf life was monitored Spring 1988 using plants from the controlled release fertilizer screening trial.

(Progress of these trials are reported in relevant issues of HDC HONS project news).

## 6. HO/2 - COMPOSTS FOR CONTAINER PLANT PRODUCTION

**Object :** To investigate effects of compost structure and nutrition on subsequent winter quality and shelf life.

### Background

Good compost structure is important for crop growth especially if taking plants overwinter for Spring sales. The "Openness" or Air Filled Porosity (AFP) will depend on the system of production, gravel beds requiring a more open structure than where drained sand beds or protection are in use.

With the trend in the industry to grow plants in more open structured mixes problems are being created in the sales area since these mixes require greater attention to watering. Hence the interest in potential use of wetting agents/polymers for improving shelf life (water holding capacity) of the more open structured composts.

Nutrition is also an important aspect of quality in overwintering and shelf life. There is particular interest in the newer formulations of controlled release fertilizers with extended release patterns which can reduce the need for top dressings overwinter or pre-sale.

The project is planned over three years 1987-1990. In this second year of the work a trial comparable to that of 1987/88 (with refinements) has been established to consolidate results.

### TRIAL 1. OVERWINTERING AND SHELF LIFE AS INFLUENCED BY COMPOST STRUCTURE AND USE OF POLYMER OR WETTING AGENT (1987-1988, 1988-1989)

**Object :** To re-look at the potential of a wetting agent or polymer for improving shelf life of plants grown in a range of compost structures.

Problems of experimental procedure had been encountered in previous trials with wetting agents/polymers due to the subjective nature of "when to water". This made it difficult to obtain quantitative data for comparative assessments on water required or frequency of watering during crop production. Thus in this trial work will concentrate on the influence of a representative wetting agent or polymer on shelf life as indicated by time taken for plants to wilt.

Plants selected for inclusion are those which have a rapid and clearly defined wilting stage. Since shelf life of smaller pots is more critical herbaceous and alpine species are included as well as a shrub.

This work is being done in collaboration with ADAS Soil Science, Wolverhampton.

The addition of wetting agent did not appear to influence AFP values. However, in the presence of polymer AFP values for the 4 types of structure were 40-50% greater than untreated controls.

Shelf life assessments - shown as number of days to first sign of wilting (Tables 1 and 2) - indicated that the addition of polymer or application of wetting agent X1, X2 and X3 did not extend the 'time to wilt' period.

It must be stressed that these are preliminary observations on 'raw data'. The data will be statistically analysed before definite conclusions are drawn.

A comparable trial (with refinements in experimental techniques) is currently being established to consolidate these results in order to apply them to the needs of the industry.

Dr. Ruth Finlay  
Efford EHS  
July 1988

Table 2

Air Filled Porosity % - Summer 1988;  
 Number of days to first sign of wilting (values shown in brackets);  
 for Hydrangea overwintered under protection.

Compost structure: Wetting agent/Polymer	Very open	Moderately open	Average	Poor
Untreated control, U	21.5 (18.0)	15.4 (21.7)	8.4 (24.8)	11.4 (25.6)
" "	21.8 (21.1)	13.6 (22.7)	9.4 (25.7)	10.6 (23.3)
Polymer, P	26.1 (18.2)	22.2 (22.7)	16.1 (25.7)	14.0 (25.3)
Wetting agent (X1), W <sub>1</sub>	22.7 (17.8)	16.3 (27.8)	14.4 (24.6)	16.2 (21.1)
Wetting agent (X2), W <sub>2</sub>	22.2 (20.4)	15.7 (23.7)	10.0 (24.0)	12.7 (21.6)
Wetting agent (X3), W <sub>3</sub>	21.4 (27.6)	14.7 (22.2)	9.6 (26.0)	12.7 (22.0)

Table 1

Air Filled Porosity % - Summer 1988;  
 Number of days to first sign of wilting (values shown in brackets);  
 for Hydrangea overwintered outdoors.

Compost structure: Wetting agent/Polymer	Very open	Moderately open	Average	Poor
Untreated control, U	20.6 (19.4)	12.1 (19.4)	10.6 (22.4)	11.0 (25.4)
" "	21.3 (17.4)	10.9 (19.6)	8.5 (20.8)	12.1 (24.4)
Polymer, P	23.6 (17.9)	18.4 (19.1)	14.8 (21.0)	15.9 (17.5)
Wetting agent (X1) W <sub>1</sub>	21.2 (14.7)	13.3 (18.1)	12.6 (20.0)	16.1 (16.1)
Wetting agent (X2) W <sub>2</sub>	22.2 (19.3)	12.7 (18.6)	10.2 (18.7)	12.8 (19.9)
Wetting agent (X3) W <sub>3</sub>	21.8 (20.4)	11.5 (18.7)	12.3 (18.5)	11.4 (21.5)





Lupin

EFFORD EXPERIMENTAL HORTICULTURE STATION

PLOT		DATE		INITIALS				No of EXPERIMENT				
REPLICATE 1				REPLICATE 2				REPLICATE 3				
Bed 33		Bed 34		Bed 33		Bed 34		Bed 33		Bed 34		
145	3P	181	2P	157	1W3	193	1P	169	1P	205	4W	
146	1W1	182	2W	158	3W	194	2P	170	3W1	206	4P	
147	3W	183	4W3	159	2W3	195	4W2	171	1W	207	3W	
148	3W1	184	1W	160	4W	196	4P	172	2W1	208	3W3	
149	2W3	185	4W2	161	4W3	197	1W	173	2W3	209	3W	
150	1P	186	1W3	162	3P	198	1W1	174	3P	210	2W	
151	4P	187	1W	163	1W2	199	4W	175	4W2	211	1W2	
152	4W	188	1W2	164	2W1	200	3W2	176	1W3	212	4W	
153	3W3	189	1W1	165	2W	201	4W1	177	4W1	213	2W	
154	4W	190	2W	166	3W	202	2W	178	4W3	214	1W	
155	3W2	191	2W2	167	1W	203	2W2	179	2P	215	2W2	
156	3W	192	2W1	168	3W1	204	3W3	180	3W2	216	1W1	
KEY	Composts			Wetting Agents								
1	Very Open			P	= Broadleaf P4							
2	Moderately Open			W3	= Aquagrow on 3 occasions							
3	Average			W2	= " " 2 "							
4	Poor			W1	= " " 1 "							
				W	= Untreated							

EFFORD EXPERIMENTAL HORTICULTURE STATION

PLOT	DATE	INITIALS	No of EXPERIMENT
------	------	----------	------------------

*Hydrocorydon*

REPLICATE 1			REPLICATE 2			REPLICATE 3		
Bed 22			Bed 23			Bed 24		
265 4μ			337 2W1			409 4W3		
266 4W3			338 3μ			410 1W2		
267 1W1			339 1μ			411 1W3		
268 2W3			340 1W3			412 2μ		
269 4μ			341 3W1			413 4W1		
270 3W2			342 4μ			414 2W3		
271 2W1			343 2W3			415 2μ		
272 3μ			344 2W2			416 3W2		
273 2W2			345 3P			417 1μ		
274 3W3			346 4W1			418 2W1		
275 3P			347 3μ			419 3μ		
276 1μ			348 3W2			420 4P		
277 3W1			349 2μ			421 3W1		
278 4P			350 1W1			422 1P		
279 1W2			351 1P			423 2W2		
280 2μ			352 3W3			424 4μ		
281 4W1			353 4W3			425 3P		
282 1W3			354 4W2			426 3μ		
283 4W2			355 4P			427 4W2		
284 2μ			356 2μ			428 1μ		
285 2P			357 2P			429 3W3		
286 1P			358 4μ			430 4μ		
287 1μ			359 1W2			431 1W1		
288 3μ			360 1μ			432 2P		

EFFORD EXPERIMENTAL HORTICULTURE STATION

PLOT			DATE			INITIALS			No of EXPERIMENT								
<i>Lycium</i>						<i>Edelweiss</i>											
REPlicate 1			REPlicate 2			REPlicate 3			REPlicate 1			REPlicate 2			REPlicate 3		
Row	Col	Plot	Row	Col	Plot	Row	Col	Plot	Row	Col	Plot	Row	Col	Plot	Row	Col	Plot
Red	19		Red	20		Red	21		Red	19		Red	20		Red	21	
217	2P		289	1M		361	2P		241	4W2		313	3M		385	2P	
218	3W1		290	3W1		362	1W1		242	2W2		314	4M		386	1M	
219	4W2		291	3M		363	3W3		243	2M		315	1W3		387	3P	
220	4P		292	3W2		364	1W2		244	1W3		316	2W1		388	2W3	
221	3M		293	1M		365	3P		245	1W2		317	4P		389	1M	
222	3P		294	3W3		366	1M		246	3W3		318	2M		390	1W3	
223	2W1		295	2W1		367	2W3		247	2M		319	1W2		391	4M	
224	2W3		296	2M		368	2M		248	3W1		320	3W3		392	2W1	
225	3W2		297	3P		369	4W2		249	3W2		321	4W2		393	4M	
226	4W1		298	4W2		370	3W2		250	4M		322	1W1		394	3M	
227	4M		299	1W1		371	4W3		251	3P		323	1M		395	1W1	
228	1W3		300	2M		372	4M		252	3M		324	3W1		396	2W2	
229	2W2		301	4W1		373	1M		253	1W1		325	2W3		397	2M	
230	1M		302	4M		374	2W1		254	4W1		326	1P		398	4P	
231	3W3		303	4P		375	3M		255	2W3		327	3M		399	3W2	
232	3M		304	1W3		376	2W2		256	4P		328	3W2		400	3M	
233	1P		305	1P		377	2M		257	4M		329	4W3		401	3W1	
234	1M		306	1W2		378	3W1		258	2W1		330	4M		402	2M	
235	1W2		307	3M		379	4P		259	1M		331	2W2		403	4W2	
236	4M		308	2P		380	3M		260	1P		332	4W1		404	4W3	
237	4W3		309	2W3		381	4M		Red	22		Red	23		Red	24	
238	2M		310	2W2		382	4W1		261	4W3		333	1M		405	1P	
239	1W1		311	4W3		383	1P		262	3M		334	3P		406	1W2	
240	2M		312	4M		384	1W3		263	3P		335	2P		407	3W3	
									264	1M		336	3M		408	1W1	



GUARD	GUARD	} 3
L 169-180	L 205-216	
L 157-168	L 193-204	
L 145-156	L 181-192	
GUARD 33	GUARD 34	} 1

GUARD	GUARD	} 3
H 97-108	H 133-144	
H 85-96	H 121-132	
H 81-84	H 117-120	
GUARD 37	GUARD 38	} 1

H = Hydrangea: 6 plants/plot +  
guard on outer edge

L = Lupin: 7 plants/plot +  
guards either edge

E = Edelweiss: 7 plants/plot +  
guards either edge

GUARD	GUARD	} 3
H 73-80	H 109-116	
GUARD H GUARD E	GUARD H GUARD E	
E 25-36	E 61-72	} 2
E 13-24	E 49-60	
E 1-12	E 37-48	} 1
GUARD 39	GUARD 40	

PROTECTED AREA